Dear Rep. John Lewis (D GA-5) Jan. 16, 2017 FAX 202-225-0351 -(Please email me you recieved this fax)

- I know how frustrated you are with our Pres. Elect Donald Trump. My Constitutional research is ready to help you & the People gain control over Trump. My proofs are filed w-3 fed. Judges, U.S. Attorney John Huber & FBI-SLC Barnhart- They know my Bribery complaint has merit & will change reality for USA Citizens. Because of fear, of the unknown, none will honor their oath of office & give due process to the proof of intent & the evidence of bribery use with 'Follow the Money" for evidence. If you need my help to use the logic & proofs: call me I love the USA & IT'S RULE OF LAW- No law may violate citizen equality to each other.

https://twitter.com/CivilRights/status/819580847150026756 https://twitter.com/jonnyricola/status/819643299547910144

sincerely, your brother-Jonathan L. Peterson 3670 S. Red Maple Rd.

Salt Lake City, UT 84106 (ph.208-650-1346) jlp1997@msn.com @jonnyricola

Your fax to Rep. John Lewis has succeeded

FaxZero.com

Reply|

Today, 11:18 AM You

Dear Jonathan Peterson,

Your fax to Rep. John Lewis at 2022250351 has been sent successfully! Successful delivery of your fax was confirmed at 1:18 PM Eastern Standard Time on January 16th, 2017

Your fax included 1 page of coversheet with your text and 3 pages of attached documents.


Thank you,		
FaxZero.com		
(id#18398301)		

- 1- The Supreme Court decided to protect Corp. from Prosecution (to protect status quo from sudden changes/Considered-too great a risk.)
 2- We can still successfully prosecute Corporations w-shareholder mission laws enforce in spite of Supreme Court's ruling. IF INTENT CAN BE PROVEN.
 3- WE CAN-Because of mission laws- that required justification of spending.
- 4- In bribery cases- the prosecution need only prove intent to commit Bribery- which we can. Again because of justification of spending rules under for profit or humanitarian expenditures only. ONLY.....
- 5- PROOF OF CORP. BRIBERY USE IS READILY FOUND AT 'Follow the Money'
- 6- Q.- WOULD PROSECUTION OF CORPORATIONS CAUSE THEM TO FAIL?
- 7- A.- high probability they would not fail..

THEY WOULD ONLY RECEIVE FINES- BASED ON HIGH PROBABILITY OF KNOWLEDGE THEY WEREN'T BREAKING LAWS.

Due to Supreme Court Ruling. OR IN LEGAL TERMS_ DIMINISHED CAPACITY.

- 8- Q.- WITH 750,000 ATTORNEYS- WHY HAVEN'T ANY WRITTEN THIS PROOF THEMSELVES & PUBLISHED IT (like LAW PROF./Retired JUDGES, Etc.)?
 9- A.- THIS IS THE EVOLUTIONARY HURTLE FOR MAN- UNDERSTANDING & OPPOSING The invisible forse that operates SURVIVAL LOGIC= INSTINCT...
 - Jonathan L. Peterson (Behavior Research for Humanity)3670 S. Red Maple Rd. Salt Lake City, UT 84106 (ph.208-650-1346) jlp1997@msn.com @jonnyricola (VISIT MY WEBSITE & DOWNLOAD SCIENCE RESEARCH & PROOFS OF INSTINCT'S POWER OVER OUR MINDS. http://ilp1997.wix.com/instinctlogicresearc



JONATHAN L. PETERSON

@jonnyricola https://twitter.com/CivilRights/status/6521646033

@CivilRights @washingtonpost @POTUS 51203840

Attorney John Huber W-his personal survey @DeseretNews FAXED again toUS

to answer

Fax Number: 8015246924 DOC Identifier: 35537586 Your transmission has completed.

Status Outcome: "Success" Status Classification: "Success" Recipient : U.S. Attorney John W. Huber

Pages Scheduled: 21 ast Attempt Time: 21:16:46

_ast Attempt Date : 10/13/2015

Pages Sent: 21 Number of Retries: 1 Duration (in seconds): 232 Baud Rate : 26400

Cover page

Remote CSID: "8013253269"

U.S. Attorney John W. Huber (w drug survey too) SURVEY ON BRIBERY & DRUG LAW 30-2015.pdf

PUBLIC OFFICIALS plain W Polit Contrib1019-30-2015.pdf JS Attorney Trina Higgins (w drug law) & constitution defender- What do you believe.SURVEY FOR

US DISTRIC COURT Complaint July 1, 2015 11pg.pdf

Zion Bank corp political donation 2014 evidence JPEG.pdf

FAX 9-27-2015 Attn. Todd Palmer, Eric Barnhart & DOJ Trina Higgins.pdf

right to appeal by your office.)
br>You both need sign your expert opinion unlawful gov. permit violation convictions-(meaning drug inmates have a U.S. Attorney John W. Huber & U.S. Attorney Trina Higgins: These 2 survey appropriate actions. Due process for Zion Bank Bribery & Drug law being proof of crimes against citizenship give clear evidence for your office to take

If you believe our drug law do not violate citizen equality sign that your ethical duty. The knowledge of crimes against citizenship is now in your belief.
br>Your duty, under oath, with this new information is clear. Honor on the surveys. If you believe no bribery offence is indicated sign that belief

P.13 nands.
br>sincerely,
Jonathan L. Peterson xxx-xx-5008

RECEIVED CLERK

Chief Judge David Nuffer: What do you believe?s DISTRICT COURT Which statement is true?......The buck stops here!"

SURVEY FOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS & CITIZENS ABOUT BRIBERY. (Attorneys are officers of the court)

influence any public official in the discharge of his or her must have an opinion about the use of money or promises to public or legal duties I am a public official under oath (Or May Become One) and

and violate state and federal bribery statutes because of I agree that corporate political contributions are not lawfu patential profit or humanitarian expenditures only. So intent (from fraud/embezzlement); funds must be spent on profit cannot be freedom of speech but seeking influence for that protect share holder's funds "carporate mission laws: justification of spending"

13, 2016)

Name(print) Sign here:

(Political)

If you believe that corporate contributions (under corporate mission laws) are lawful.....

Sign here:

of an official in the discharge of his or her public or legal something of value for the purpose of influencing the action BRIBERY: The offering giving receiving or soliciting of dufies unlawful by constitutional Equality Under All Laws. (created Feb. 14, 2014)

Any Cambidate Or Public Official Thir Cannot State Their Beliefs On Bribery, fa Nic Hausting Oath Of Office ETHICS.

tenathan T. Peterson

Dehmotor Repeated for Mananity No. 1670 S. Red Maple Ref. — Saft Lake City, LT 14106 (ph.2018-560-1346) — jhj 1995@naso.eon — jäjuneysienia

1. 1/17 the day 1,2015 there

https://twitter.com/CivilRights/status/676820650825269248 Attorney General @LorettaLynch Delivers Announcement

USAG Loretta Lynch & Pres. Barack Obama -

(ATTORNEYS) (and Sen. Orrin Hatch / Sen. Mike Lee)

WHAT DO YOU BELIEVE IS TRUE?

Explain The Significance: Of This Legal - Proof Of Intent...

YOU REALLY BELIEVE CORP. SHAREHOLDER MONEY IS SPENT ON Freedom of Speech= SignIT.

SURVEY FOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS & CITIZENS ABOUT BRIBERY.

(Attorneys are officers of the court)

I am a public official under oath (Or May Become One) and must have an opinion about the use of money or promises to influence any public official in the discharge of his or her public or legal duties.

I agree that corporate political contributions are not lawful and violate state and federal bribery statutes because of:

"corporate mission laws/ Justification of Spending" that protect share holder's funds (from fraud/embezzlement); funds must be spent on profit, potential profit or humanitarian expenditures only. So intent cannot be freedom of speech but seeking influence for profit..... Sign here: Name(print) Sign date If you believe that corporate political contributions (under corporate mission law) are lawful......

BRIBERY: The offering, giving, receiving, or soliciting of something of value for the purpose of influencing the action of an official in the discharge of his or her public or legal duties.....

by-Jonathan L. Peterson (Behavior Research for Humanity) 3670 S. Red Maple Rd.

Sign

Sign here: Name(print)

Salt Lake City, UT 84106 (ph.208-650-1346) jlp1997@msn.com @jonnyricola

